

United States Patent and Trademark Office

aw

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,939	09/938,939 08/2		Janakiram Koka	YAHOO-01008US1	2665
23910	7590	06/08/2005		EXAM	INER
FLIESLEI		ER, LLP DERO CENTER	HERNANDEZ, OLGA		
	SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRAI	VCISCO,	CA 94111	2144		
				DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Applio	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/93	8,939	KOKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Exami	iner	Art Unit				
		łernandez	2144				
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on	the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this o - If the period for reply specified above is less than thir - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for r Any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the m statutory period will apply ar eply will, by statute, cause the ths after the mailing date of this	o event, however, may a r statutory minimum of thin nd will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 3/24/05.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action i	is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in conditi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the pra	actice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims			,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in th	e application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	• •	consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to							
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or electio	n requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 August</u>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
Applicant may not request that any o							
		=	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examiner.	Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla		under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of							
1. Certified copies of the prior							
2. Certified copies of the prior			· ·				
 Copies of the certified copie application from the Internal 			received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office ad			received				
Attachment(s)	,						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviev	•	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	or P10/SB/08)	6) Other:	oformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
. Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Sum	mary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050512				

Application/Control Number: 09/938,939

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-13, 15-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Collins et al (6,424,828).

As per claim 1, Collins discloses determining a chunk size limit; dividing a web page data into segments having a size no greater than the chunk size limit; and linking the chunks in sequence (figures 8 and 9, column 3, lines 25-53, column 11, lines 25-31, column 12, lines 31-43, column 13, lines 15-20).

As per claims 3 and 15, Collins discloses the linking step in sequential order (column 11, lines 25-32, column 13, lines 15-20).

As per claim 4, Collins discloses linking chunks (column 4, lines 56-59).

Application/Control Number: 09/938,939

Art Unit: 2144

As per claim 6, Collins discloses determining whether the chunk limit falls on a word or element boundary and establishing a break point at a position or element boundary (column 11, lines 25-32).

As per claim 12, Collins discloses determining where the gateway limit falls in the content data; and parsing the content data into at least a first segment and at least a next segment of a size at or below the gateway limit at break points not falling within a word, or element boundary (figures 8 and 9, column 3, lines 25-53, column 11, lines 25-31, column 12, lines 31-43, column 13, lines 15-20).

As per claim 13, Collin discloses linking the first segment and said at least next segment (column 4, lines 56-59, column 11, lines 25-32, column 13, lines 15-20).

As per claim 17, Collins discloses determining whether the gateway limit falls on a word or element boundary and establishing a break point at a position or element boundary (column 11, lines 25-32).

As per claims 7, 8, 18 and 19, Collins discloses the break point falling on a word is determined and positioned on a new and/or end of line indicator (column 11, lines 25-32).

As per claims 5 and 16, Collins discloses determining the point where the chunk size limit is reached; and creating a table/list addresses to subsequent chunks (column 5, lines 43-67, column 6, lines 1-18).

As per claims 9 and 20, Collins discloses creating a table identifying each of the segments and fixing addresses in the segments (column 5, lines 43-67, column 6, lines 1-18).

As per claims 10 and 21, Collins discloses the e-mail message includes a communication greater than a maximum communication length imposed by the SMS (abstract). Therefore, there is a fixed length.

As per claim 11, Collins discloses the use of domain names (column 5, line 49 and column 6, line 20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collins et al (6,424,828).

Collins teaches how to link in a sequential order. Collins does not teach how to link in a non-sequential order. However, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to use a non-sequential order in order to provide another advantages to the communications means such as classification and/or prioritizing packets, which are in a non-sequential order. Therefore, two communicating parties in that the sender must be paced by the receiver so that the receiver is not overrun with packets arriving faster than can be processed.

Art Unit: 2144

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear if the chunks and segments are the same. Note the linking step of claim 1 (line 6), links **chunks** in sequence, while "<u>said</u> linking step" (assumed to be the same) in claims 2 and 3 link **segments**. Is it a different linking step?

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is 571-272-7144. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30am-7:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,939

Art Unit: 2144

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

lga Hernandez

Examiner Art Unit 2144